

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE **FIRST NAMED INVENTOR** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Т

09/023,170

02/13/98

HOLMAN

042390.P5346

TM11/1019

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER VERBRUGGE, K

2185

DATE MAILED:

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

See attached Advisory Action.



Advisory Action

Application No. 09/023,170

Applicant(s)

Holman

Examiner

Kevin Verbrugge

Group Art Unit

2185

TH	E PER	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) 💢	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on determi	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any I for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
		It's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 2, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pr	roposed amendment(s):
		ill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	☐ wi	ill not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE:
	☐ Ap	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
П	 Newly	v proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
ш	separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	The a	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the niner in the final rejection.
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim	ns allowed:
		ns objected to:
		ns rejected: 1-20
	The p	proposed drawing correction filed on
	Note:	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) /PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	140.0	the attached morniation blocked statement (off).
	Other	